

State Advocacy Priorities: **Minnesota**

State-level advocacy is now more important than ever. Through the Center for State Policy, the AAFP is working with chapters to advance policy priorities and provide background research on a range of topics. Priority issues for the AAFP, and where your state stands on them, are listed below. Explore all the AAFP customizable advocacy resources at www.aafp.org/state-advocacy.

The AAFP <u>advocates</u> for immediate reduction in the regulatory and administrative red tape family physicians and practices comply with on a daily basis. These burdens range from onerous documentation guidelines to cumbersome prior authorization criteria and the unrelenting frustrations associated with electronic health records. A 2016 <u>study</u> published in the Annals of Internal Medicine found that during a typical work day, primary care physicians spend 27 percent of their time on clinical activities and 49 percent on administrative activities. For every hour primary care physicians spend in direct patient care, they spend two hours engaged in administrative functions.

States are beginning to tackle administrative burden for physicians. In Minnesota, insurers are required to respond to prior authorization requests from physicians within 10 business days, or one working day when not certifying. State law allows for an expedited process for certain medical conditions of no more than 72 hours. Minnesota has passed step therapy reform, which would limit insurance company requirements for patients to try one or more insurer-preferred medications prior to a physician recommendation. Effective January 1, physicians will have the ability to override step therapy protocols if a drug will likely harm a patient or the drug has already been tried by the patient. Health plan companies will be required to respond to or appeal override requests within five days. For more information on how to improve prior authorization or step therapy statutes at the state level, view our backgrounder.

Direct Primary Care

The AAFP <u>supports</u> physician and patient choice to, respectively, provide and receive health care in any ethical health care delivery system model including the direct primary care (DPC) setting. DPC provides family physicians and patients with an alternative to fee-for-service insurance. DPC typically works by charging patients a fixed periodic fee that offsets primary care service costs. DPC is designed to remove financial barriers patients encounter in accessing routine primary care; including preventative, wellness, and chronic care services. DPC practices often suggest that patients acquire a high-deductible, wraparound insurance policy to cover subspecialty care, emergency room visits, and hospitalizations.

Legislation is needed in many states to appropriately define direct primary care. In 2018, <u>legislation</u> to define DPC as "not insurance," which would have provided clarity for physicians and regulators on how to conform DPC practices, failed to advance in the Minnesota legislature. According to <u>DPC Frontier</u>, there are 28 DPC practices in the state. For more information, view our backgrounder on <u>direct primary care</u>.

Medicaid Expansion

The AAFP supports health care for all, recognizing that health is a basic human right for every person, and that the right to health includes universal access to timely, acceptable and affordable health care of appropriate quality. AAFP policy encourages the expansion of Medicaid and recommends all Medicaid programs include provisions whereby the homeless and medically uninsurable are covered. To accomplish this, states should expand Medicaid to avoid coverage gaps. Since Minnesota expanded Medicaid in 2013, more than 223,000 Minnesotans have gained health insurance, lowering the state uninsurance rate from seven to six percent.

For more information on Medicaid expansion, view our <u>backgrounder</u> or HealthLandscape <u>maps</u> which show the uninsurance rate among U.S. adults overlaid with state Senate and House boundary lines.

Medicaid-to-Medicare Parity

Low Medicaid physician payment rates have historically created a <u>barrier</u> to health care access for Medicaid enrollees. Prior to the passage of the ACA, Medicaid physician payments were generally far lower than both Medicare and private insurance payment rates for the same services. Physicians <u>cited</u> low payment rates and administrative burden as the main reason for limiting access to a significant number of Medicaid patients. Increasing Medicaid payment has been suggested as a solution to increase fairness and eliminate some of the barriers to access for the Medicaid population. AAFP <u>policy</u> advocates for Medicaid payment for primary are services at least equal to Medicare's payment rate for those services when provided by a primary care physician.

On March 23, 2010, the *Health Care Education and Reconciliation Act* (HCERA) was signed into law as part of the *Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act*. The HCERA included a mandatory two-year increase in Medicaid primary care payment rates; however, this policy was not reauthorized by Congress in 2014. Following the end of the primary care pay bump, most states rolled back Medicaid physician rates for primary care services to 2012 levels. As of 2016, Minnesota Medicaid pays for primary care services at 78% of the Medicare rate in fee-for-service. Rates at which primary care services are paid for in Medicaid managed care are not public. For more information, view our backgrounder on Medicaid primary care payment.

Medicaid Waivers

Section 1115 of the Social Security Act provides the U.S. Secretary of Health and Human Services with authority to approve experimental, pilot, or demonstration projects that promote the objectives of the Medicaid program and the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP). Through this state option, certain provisions of the Medicaid program may be waived by the federal government to allow states additional flexibility in designing and improving their programs. The Trump Administration views Section 1115 waivers as an important tool for Medicaid reform and has committed to a system "where states have more freedom to design programs that meet the spectrum of diverse needs of their Medicaid population." As a result, there have been new trends in Section 1115 waiver applications including changes to state Medicaid programs that affect non-expansion populations. These include work requirements as a condition of eligibility, time limits for coverage eligibility, and coverage lockouts for failure to pay premiums and/or failure to timely renew eligibility.

Despite <u>legislation</u> that was introduced earlier this year to impose work requirements on its Medicaid population, Minnesota has no plans to submit a work requirement for federal approval. The AAFP will continue to monitor waiver activity and produce education resources on this subject. For more information, view our backgrounders on <u>Section 1115 Waivers</u> and <u>Medicaid work requirements</u>.

Primary Care Spend

Family physicians perform a wide range of services including general practice, labor and delivery, emergency medicine, surgery and other procedures, pediatrics, hospital medicine, and geriatrics. Collectively, they're responsible for approximately one in five office visits every year, yet there is currently no widely accepted metric to quantify the total cost of primary care delivered nationwide. The AAFP supports efforts to establish collaboratives requiring insurers to report and increase the amount they spend on primary care to sustain medical home transformation and reduce the income disparity between primary and subspecialty care.

According to a JAMA <u>study</u>, primary care spending in the United States accounts for only five to eight percent of overall health care costs, lagging most other high-income countries. Primary care spend legislation would establish state-level primary care collaboratives to assist in developing and sharing best practices and methods to quantify and increase resources for primary care. Minnesota has not introduced legislation on this topic. For more information, view our backgrounder on primary care spend.